CENG567: Homework #2

Yiğit Sever

November 15, 2020

1 Checking Consistency of Judgements

Given the collection of n butterflies and a potential judgement between every pair (or not if the judgement is ambiguous), we have a graph G=(V,E) with n=|V| vertices and $|E|=m\leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ edges, with every edge $(i,j)\in E$ labelled either "same" or "different". At the end of our algorithm, the vertices should be consistently labelled as either A and B or our algorithm should be able to prove that G cannot be labelled as so.

A modified graph traversal using either BFS or DFS (since a node can be discovered multiple times in both of them) will work. Here we will modify the graph traversal given on page 42 on our 3rd lecture slides that uses BFS. The input of the algorithm is a node $s \in E$. If, due to ambiguous (i.e. missing) nodes, the graph is not connected, the algorithm should be run until every connected component is discovered.

Algorithm 1: Modified graph traversal algorithm so solve judgement consistency checking problem

```
function consistency check(s: node)
   Data: K = \text{data structure of discovered nodes}
   Result: boolean = whether G is consistent or not
   label s as A
                               /* the opposite label is B */
   put s in K
   while K is not empty do
       take a node v from K
      \mathbf{if}\ v\ is\ not\ marked\ "explored"\ \mathbf{then}
          \max v "explored"
          for each edge (v, w) incident to v do
              if w is labelled then
                 if the label of w is not consistent with the label
                  of v with respect to the judgement (v, w) then
                  terminate the algorithm; G is inconsistent
                 end if
              else
                 if (v, w) is labelled "same" then
                     label w with the label of v
                 else /*(v,w) is labelled "different" */
                    label w with the opposite label of v
                 end if
              end if
              put w in K
          end for
      end if
   end while
   the spanned connected component is consistent
```

With the assumption that accessing the labels (u, w) takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time this algorithm has the same running time as BFS; $\mathcal{O}(m+n)$.

2 Reachability

First, compute all strongly connected components (SCCs) of G by using (Tarjan 1972) per page 72 of the $3^{\rm rd}$ lecture notes in $\mathcal{O}(E+V)$ time. Instead of labelling the SCCs with the root node, we will initially label all nodes of the SCC F' with the min(u) of the connected component.

Then, by ignoring the tree edges, shrink the graph G such that $E' = (v, w) \mid v \in F', w \in F''$, leaving only cross links behind. This step takes another $\mathcal{O}(E+V)$ time.

Now run the topological sort algorithm presented in page 84 of the 3rd lecture notes this operation is yet again $\mathcal{O}(E+V)$.

Finally, reverse the direction of the edges on the graph that have been output by the topological sort and starting from the new root node, traverse the graph downwards and update the min(u) of every SCC as follows;

```
Algorithm 2: Updating \min(u) of the SCCs

Data: G' = \text{topological sorted } G with reversed edges

Result: \min(u) for all vertices u \in V

mostmin \longleftarrow \min(\text{root})

while traversing \ G' downwards \ with \ current \ node \ v do

if \min(v) < mostmin \ then

| mostmin \leftarrow \min(v)

else

| label v as mostmin

end if

end while
```

References

Tarjan, R. (1972). "Depth-First Search and Linear Graph Algorithms". In: $SIAM\ J.\ Comput.\ DOI:\ 10.\ 1137/0201010.$