

CENG567: Homework #2

Yiğit Sever

November 15, 2020

1 Checking Consistency of Judgements

Given the collection of n butterflies and a potential judgement between every pair (or not if the judgement is ambiguous), we have a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $n = |V|$ vertices and $|E| = m \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ edges, with every edge $(i, j) \in E$ labelled either “same” or “different”. At the end of our algorithm, the vertices should be *consistently* labelled as either A and B or our algorithm should be able to prove that G cannot be labelled as so.

A modified graph traversal using either BFS or DFS (since a node can be discovered multiple times in both of them) will work. Here we will modify the graph traversal given on *page 42* on our 3rd lecture slides that uses BFS. The input of the algorithm is a node $s \in E$. If, due to ambiguous (i.e. missing) nodes, the graph is not connected, the algorithm should be run until every connected component is discovered.

Algorithm 1: Modified graph traversal algorithm so solve judgement consistency checking problem

```
function consistency_check( $s$ : node)
  Data:  $K$  = data structure of discovered nodes
  Result: boolean = whether  $G$  is consistent or not
  label  $s$  as A          /* the opposite label is B */
  put  $s$  in  $K$ 
  while  $K$  is not empty do
    take a node  $v$  from  $K$ 
    if  $v$  is not marked “explored” then
      mark  $v$  “explored”
      for each edge  $(v, w)$  incident to  $v$  do
        if  $w$  is labelled then
          if the label of  $w$  is not consistent with the label
            of  $v$  with respect to the judgement  $(v, w)$  then
            | terminate the algorithm;  $G$  is inconsistent
          end if
        else
          if  $(v, w)$  is labelled “same” then
            | label  $w$  with the label of  $v$ 
          else /*  $(v, w)$  is labelled “different” */
            | label  $w$  with the opposite label of  $v$ 
          end if
        end if
        put  $w$  in  $K$ 
      end for
    end if
  end while
  the spanned connected component is consistent
end
```


With the assumption that accessing the labels (u, w) takes $\mathcal{O}(1)$ time this algorithm has the same running time as BFS; $\mathcal{O}(m + n)$.

2 Reachability


The intuition for this question can be illustrated as follows;

If G is strongly connected then the answer is trivial; every vertex have the same $\min(u) = 1$.

For a directed acyclic graph, consider the scenario below;



We can assign the $\min(u)$ values on this directed acyclic graph by reversing the direction of the edges and traversing the graph, keeping a minimum $\min(u)$ encountered so far for the nodes;



Starting from the “root” d , the $\min(u)$ is 2, which is assigned to the immediate neighbours of d , c and a . When the traversal *reaches* b , b sets the current $\min(u)$ to 1 and a ’s $\min(u)$ value is updated to 1 as well.

With the intuition out of the way, we will generalize the problem. First, compute all strongly connected components (SCCs) of G by using (Tarjan 1972) per *page 72* of the 3rd lecture notes in $\mathcal{O}(E + V)$ time. Instead of labelling the SCCs with their root node, we will initially label all nodes of the SCC F' with the $\min(u)$ of the connected component; $\min(a)$ of $a \in F' = \min \{L(w) : w \in F'\}$.

Then, by ignoring the tree edges, *shrink* the graph G such that $E' = \{(v, w) \mid v \in F', w \in F''\}$, leaving only cross links behind. This step takes another $\mathcal{O}(E + V)$ time.

Now run the topological sort algorithm presented in *page 84* of the 3rd lecture notes. This operation is yet again $\mathcal{O}(E + V)$.

We now have a scenario like the one illustrated above. Reverse the direction of the edges on the graph that have been output by the topological sort and starting from the new root node, traverse the graph downwards and update the $\min(u)$ of every SCC in $\mathcal{O}(m + n)$ time as follows;

Algorithm 2: Updating $\min(u)$ of the SCCs

Data: G' = topological sorted G with reversed edges

Result: $\min(u)$ for all vertices $u \in V$

$\text{minnest} \leftarrow \min(\text{root})$

while *traversing G' downwards with current node v* **do** // $m + n$

if $\min(v) < \text{minnest}$ **then**

 | $\text{minnest} \leftarrow \min(v)$

else

 | label v as *minnest*

end if

end while

Finally, update the $\min(u)$ of every node $w \in G$ to match the cross link edges of the SCC they belong to. This operation is yet another $\mathcal{O}(m + n)$ traversal.

References

Tarjan, R. (1972). “Depth-First Search and Linear Graph Algorithms”. In: *SIAM J. Comput.* DOI: 10.1137/0201010.